Tsongkhapa	Gorampa		
Source: The Two Truths Debate: Tsongkhapa and Gorampa on the Middle Way by Sonam Thakchoe (Introduction)			
Buddha			
Nāgārjuna (ca. 150–250 c.E.)			
	170–270 C.E.)		
Candrakīrti (ca. 570–650 c.E.)			
Tsongkhapa	Gorampa		
1357–1419	1429-89		
Prāsaṅgika Mādhyamikas			
Tsongkhapa as a pluralist	Gorampa is a monist		
Two truths are actual truths. Conventional and Ultimate truths are mutually interlocking, with no hierarchical relation between them. Both truths are equal in their ontological, epistemological, and even soteriological importance.	Conventional truth is not actual truth. Ultimate truth alone is the truth. Thus the two truths are distinct, hierarchically ordered, and mutually exclusive. Ultimate truth precedes conventional truth in its ontological, epistemological, and soteriological importance.		
Definitions of the two truths are based on the two natures that are verified by, respectively, empirically valid and ultimately valid cognition. He does not simply reduce the two truths to these two modes of cognition; ultimate truth in particular, cannot be metaphysically unconditioned — reducible to an independent and ultimate mode of cognition — even though it may be unconditioned epistemologically.	Ignorance and wisdom respectively determine the character, and therefore the definition, of each of the two truths. The two truths are thus underlaid by, and reducible to two conflicting modes of cognition. Ultimate truth is therefore unconditioned metaphysically – it is defined by an independent and ultimate mode of cognition.		
Ultimate truth is, to a certain extent, both effable and conceptually unknowable. He argues for the possibility of valid conceptual cognition.	He argues against the possibility of valid conceptual cognition.		
Regarding the three principal modes of knowing ultimate truth:			
 By way of not seeing it By way of transcending conceptual elaborations By way of ascending to nonduality 			

Tsongkhapa		Gorampa		
Mobilizes all three of these modes of knowing		Mobilizes the three modes of knowing ultimate		
ultimate truth to establish that empirically given		truth as epistemological scaffolding that allows one		
phenomena (those accessible to the senses) are		to ascend to a metaphysical nonduality.		
without essence and thus dependently arisen. He		Transcendent knowledge is utterly distinct from		
then proceeds to argue that transcendent		conventional knowledge. Thus the knowledge of		
knowledge is equivalent to knowledge of empirical		empirically given phenomena is dependently arisen		
phenomena in being dependently arisen.		and the knowledge of transcendent ultimate truth		
		as nondual must be distinct and contradictory.		
Enlightenment is the perfection of knowledge of		Enlightenment represents a breach between the		
empirically given phenomena from both empirical		two truths, for with enlightenment the		
and ultimate standpoints. Thus the two truths –		conventional world and conventional knowledge		
and there two modes of knowing – are united in a		disappear, and one is conscious only of a		
perfect equilibrium.		transcendent absolute.		
founder of the Gelug		pillar of the Sakya		
Defended Tsongkhapa's interpretation while		Raised serious and fierce objections against		
rejecting that of Gorampa:		Tsongkhapa's position on the doctrine of the two		
		truths:		
Gyaltsab Jé (1364-1432)	[Gelug]			
Khedrub Jé (1385-1438)	[Gelug]	Rongtön Shakya Gyaltsen (1367-1449)	[Sakya]	
Gendün Drub (1391-1474)	[Gelug]	Taktsang Lotsawa (1405 - ?)	[Sakya]	
Sera Jetsün Chökyi Gyaltsen (1469-1544)	[Gelug]	Shakya Chogden (1428-1509)	[Sakya]	
Panchen Sönam Dragpa (1478-1554)	[Gelug]	Eighth Karmapa Mikyö Dorje (1504-57)	[Kagyu]	
Panchen Lobsang Chökyi Gyaltsen (1567-1662)		Mipham Rinpoche (1846-1912)	[Nyingma]	
	[Gelug]	Gendün Chöpel (1903-51) [Nyi	gma/Gelug]	
Jamyang Shepai Dorje (1648-1722)	[Gelug]			
Changkya Rölpai Dorje (1717-86)	[Gelug]			
Könchog Jigmé Wangpo (1728-91)	[Gelug]			